



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
071949652	09/23/92	Simons	

EXAMINER	
Sisson	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1807	21

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

page 1 of 2

- (1) Emily M. Holiday (3) W. Gary Jones
(2) Laura Terlizzi (4) Bradley L. Sisson

Date of interview 07/31/95

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: Mrs. Terlizzi presented comparison
(tabular form) of DQA allele sequences.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1-16, 37, & 39-50

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (a) Copy of the Interview
summary (Page No. 20) of 5/75- given to Mr. Terlizzi; (b)
Mrs. Terlizzi reviewed the 37 CFR 1.132 declaration of (a) Freshitt, (b)
L. Hood and (c) Dr. P. Rubinstein. Mrs. Terlizzi indicated how these
declarations demonstrate operability of the claimed method to non-human

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

B. L. Sisson
Examiner's Signature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	21

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

Page 2 of 2

(1) _____ (3) _____
(2) _____ (4) _____

Date of interview 07/31/95

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: Wai Kon et al., & Mullis.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: organisms, e.g.

soybean cultivars (Gresshoff dec.). (3) Mrs. Terlizzi discussed how
Wai Kon does not establish a relationship between within (or non-coding)
regions and exon regions polymorphisms. (4) The aspect of single
mutations for performing allele amplifications was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

B. L. Lison
Examiner's Signature